

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**ANTHONY RAY THOMPSON,**  
**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**LISA SLATZER, et al.,**  
**Defendants.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**C.A. No. 19-282 Erie**

**District Judge Susan Paradise Baxter  
Magistrate Judge Richard A. Lanzillo**

**MEMORANDUM ORDER**

Plaintiff Anthony R. Thompson, an inmate formerly incarcerated at the State Correctional Institution at Forest in Marienville, Pennsylvania (“SCI-Forest”),<sup>1</sup> initiated this *pro se* civil rights action in the Court of Common Pleas of Forest County, Pennsylvania, against Defendants Lisa Slatzer, a counselor at SCI-Forest, and Rex Hildebrand, an Inmate Classification Systems Analyst. On September 30, 2019, Defendants properly removed the case to this Court, and this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Richard A. Lanzillo for report and recommendation in accordance with the Magistrates Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), and Rules 72.1.3 and 72.1.4 of the Local Rules for Magistrates. The case was subsequently reassigned to the undersigned, as presiding judge, on November 14, 2019, with Judge Lanzillo remaining as the referred Magistrate Judge for all pretrial proceedings.

In his *pro se* complaint, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants miscalculated his Inmate Custody Level Classification, resulting in the loss of his “incentive transfer” to another Pennsylvania state institution and prison job, in violation of his Eighth and Fourteenth

---

1

Plaintiff is presently incarcerated at the State Correctional Institution at Albion, Pennsylvania.

Amendment rights and DOC rules.

On December 7, 2020, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment [ECF No. 74], to which Plaintiff filed a response in opposition [ECF No. 79]; however, Plaintiff's response failed to oppose Defendants' concise statement of material facts. On July 30, 2021, Judge Lanzillo issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted in favor of Defendants on all claims, including a retaliation claim that the Magistrate Judge discerned from Plaintiff's allegations, even though it was not specifically delineated by Plaintiff. Objections to the R&R were due to be filed by August 16, 2021; however, no timely objections have been received.

Thus, after de novo review of the complaint and documents in this case, together with the report and recommendation, the following order is entered:

AND NOW, this 17th day of August, 2021;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion for summary judgment [ECF No. 74] is GRANTED, and judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff on all claims in this case. The report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Lanzillo, issued July 30, 2021 [ECF No. 86], is adopted as the opinion of the Court.

The Clerk is directed to mark this case "CLOSED."

  
SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER  
United States District Judge

cc: The Honorable Richard A. Lanzillo  
United States Magistrate Judge